

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 01869 041620Z

47

ACTION OES-04

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-06 NEA-09 IO-10 ISO-00 USIE-00

ACDA-05 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 FEAЕ-00

SSO-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 PA-01

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 AF-06 ARA-06 /096 W

----- 012648

O P 04143Z MAR 75

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5559

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AEC GERMANTOWN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USUN NEW YORK 2993

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 1869

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: APRM TECH IAEA UK

SUBJECT: PNE COMMITTEE

REF: (A) IAEA VIENNA 1735 (NOTAL)

(B) IAEA VIENNA 1819

1. SUMMARY: UK STILL PRESSING HARD FOR BOARD APPROVAL

CREATION PNE COMMITTEE AT SPECIAL MEETING PRIOR TO NPT

REVIEW CONFERENCE, AND PLANNING REOPEN QUESTION AT BOARD

MEETING MARCH 5. MISSION HAS URGED UK MISSION TO TAKE

FLEXIBLE APPROACH, AND NOT PUSH FOR FIRM BOARD DECISION

TO MEET ON SPECIFIC APRIL DATE. END SUMMARY

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 01869 041620Z

2. JACKSON (UK) ASKED AFTERNOON MARCH 3 FOR MISSION SUPPORT FOR UK INITIATIVE TO REOPEN PNE COMMITTEE ISSUE AT SPECIAL BOARD MEETING MARCH5, WHICH BEING CONVENED TO CONSIDER JAPANESE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT. UK PROPOSED TO PRESS FOR SPECIFIC BOARD DECISION TO AGREE TAKE UP PNE COMMITTEE RESOLUTION (GOV/1719) ON AGENDA OF A SPECIAL BOARD MEETING JUST BEFORE OR AFTER ADMINISTRATIVE AND BUDGET COMMITTEE WHICH CONVENES APRIL 15, SPECIFICALLY ON APRIL 14 OR 18. ASSUMING BOARD APPROVAL (AT THAT TIME) UK WOULD THEN PLAN CONVENE FIRST ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF PNE COMMITTEE PRIOR TO REVIEW CONFERENCE.

3. UK REPORTS REACTION OF CO-SPONSORS AND OTHER STATES AS FOLLOWS: JAPAN SUPPORTS UK PROPOSAL (AND AS WELL NOW SUPPORTS CANADIAN AMENDMENTS REPORTED REF A); THAIS IN FAVOR; CANADA WOULD NOT OBJECT; FRG NEEDS INSTRUCTIONS; AUSTRALIANS HAVING SECOND THOUGHTS ABOUT MAKING ANOTHER ATTEMPT ON CREATION PNE COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME BECAUSE OF BOARD OPPOSITION REPORTED REF A.

4. I TOLD JACKSON I THOUGHT HIS PROPOSAL WAS PREMATURE AND WOULD PRODUCE A RE-HASH OF INCONCLUSIVE BOARD DEBATE OF LAST WEEK. ATTEMPT WOULD BE RESENTED BY MANY STATES, WHO HAD HAD NO OPPORTUNITY GET INSTRUCTIONS, AND OTHER STATES WOULD PERHAPS BE MORE OUTSPOKEN IN THEIR OPPOSITION. SUGGESTED HE TRY MORE FLEXIBLE APPROACH, ASKING BOARD TO TAKE CONSENSUS DECISION ALONG LINES THAT IT MIGHT RPT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE TO HOLD A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD IN APRIL IF DG, AFTER CONSULTATIONS, CONSIDERED IT WAS TIMELY TO DO SO. THIS APPROACH MIGHT RECEIVE SUPPORT OF MANY UNINSTRUCTED DELEGATES, SINCE IT WOULD STILL LEAVE OPEN THE DECISION AS TO WHETHER THE PNE COMMITTEE QUESTION SHOULD BE ADDRESSED AT ALL PRIOR TO NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING IN JUNE. I SAID I DOUBTED VERY MUCH THAT WASHINGTON WOULD SUPPORT A FIRM BOARD DECISION TO CONSIDER THE MATTER IN APRIL, BUT I THOUGHT WE MIGHT GO ALONG WITH A CONSENSUS WHICH NEITHER CONCLUSIVELY SUPPORTED OR REJECTED CONSIDERATION AT THAT TIME. I ALSO REMINDED HIM THAT US STILL HAD SOME PROBLEMS WITH TEXT OF GOV/1719 WHICH WOULD PROBABLY BE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 01869 041620Z

BROUGHT UP IN FUTURE CONSULTATIONS WITH UK.

5. WITH RESPECT TO SOVIET ATTITUDE, JACKSON THOUGHT HE HAD DETECTED A WILLINGNESS ON MOROKHOV'S PART TO TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT PNE COMMITTEE IN APRIL, BUT NOT BEFORE. JACKSON CONJECTURED THAT THIS MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH COMPLETION SCHEDULE TO TTB TALKS IN MOSCOW. I SAID I HAD NOT IDEA WHETHER US CONTEMPLATED CONCLUSION

OF TTB TALKS IN THAT TIME FRAME.

6. JACKSON AGREED TO QUERY LONDON TO GET HIS INSTRUCTIONS
CHANGED TO SUPPORT THE FLEXIBLE APPROACH MENTIONED
PARA 4 ABOVE. I SAID I WOULD ASK WASHINGTON FOR
INSTRUCTIONS TO GO ALONG WITH SUCH AN APPROACH.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: INSTRUCTIONS TO DO SO.

7. COMMENT: PRECIPITOUS ACTION PROPOSED BY UK STRIKES
US AS A BIT INEPT, ALTHOUGH IT HAS LAUDABLE OBJECTIVE
OF DOING SOMETHING ON CREATION PNE COMMITTEE PRIOR TO
MAY REVCON. IN MY VIEW, HOWEVER, THAT OBJECTIVE ASSUMES
LESS AND LESS IMPORTANCE, AND I SO INFORMED JACKSON.
DANGER OF A DEADLOCK IN APRIL, POSSIBLY RESULTING IN NO
ACTION AT ALL ON COMMITTEE, WOULD CAUSE MORE DAMAGE AT
REVCON THAN WOULD SIMPLE ANNOUNCEMENT THAT BOARD HAD
QUESTION OF COMMITTEE ON ITS AGENDA, AND WOULD REVERT TO
IT AT AN EARLY DATE WHICH IS NOW THE CASE. THE BOARD
MEMBERSHIP IS NOT YET READY TO BE SEIZED WITH THIS MATTER,
AND WE SURMISE THAT MAY ALSO BE VIEW OF USG.PORTER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NPT, PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, MEETING REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 04 MAR 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ellisooob
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975IAEAV01869
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750075-0601
From: IAEA VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750385/aaaacyuq.tel
Line Count: 136
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 IAEA VIENNA 1735
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ellisooob
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 31 MAR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <31 MAR 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by ellisooob>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PNE COMMITTEE
TAGS: PARM, TECH, UK, IAEA
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006